PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					REC'D 16	MAY 20	006		
To:					NEC U I S				
				Ì	WIPO	PC			
1									
	see form	PCT/ISA/220				WRIT	TEN OPINION O	FTHE	
			26/5		INTER	NATION	VAL SEARCHING	AUTHORITY	
			1.0 ()	1		(F	PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
				I				,	
					Date of mailing				
						(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
see f	Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220					FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	ational application		International fili	ing date (d		•			
PCT/	1B2005/003122	2	19.10.2005	g calo (c	aymnonunyea	"	Priority date (day/month 20.10.2004	/year)	
Interna	ational Patent Clas	sification (IPC) or I	ooth national clas	sification a	nd IPC				
INV.	B60K6/04 B62	D21/15							
Applica	ant .								
	AN MOTOR C	O. LTD							
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicatio	ns relating to	the follo	wing items	:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the opi	nion						
[☐ Box No. iI	Priority							
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishm	ent of opinion v	with regard	d to novelty	. inventive	sten and industrial and	allog bilitu	
 ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. IV 							plicability		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inver applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						ovelty, inventive step o	r industrial		
	Box No. VI	Certain docume	nts cited						
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the internatio	onal applic	ation				
L	J Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the into	ernational	application	I			
2. F	URTHER ACT	ON							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							pply where		
fre	this opinion is, a ubmit to the IPE om the date of n hichever expires	nailing of Form P	e, considered to together, where CT/ISA/220 or b	o be a wri e appropri pefore the	tten opinion ate, with an expiration o	of the IPE nendments of 22 mont	EA, the applicant is invision, before the expiration the priority dates	ted to of 3 months ie,	
Fo	or further options	s, see Form PCT	/ISA/220.						
			·	~.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of completion of Authorized Officer									
3	European P-	atent Office - P.B. 5		s opinion		1		Andrews Prince	
<u> </u>				é form CT/ISA/210		Wagnei	r, H		
	Fax: +31 70	340 - 2040 Tx: 31 6 340 - 3016	o reboul				e No. +31 70 340-4185		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/003122

_	Вох	No. I Basis of the opinion						
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	Ø	☐ the international application in the language in which it was filed						
		a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).						
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type of material:							
		a sequence listing						
	E	table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. format of material:							
		on paper						
		l in electronic form						
	c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in electronic form.						
	. [furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4.	Additional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/003122

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1

No: Claims

1-10,12-18

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

11

No: Claims

Claims 1-10,12-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US 2002/191385 A1 (MIYAZAKI TOSHIHISA) 19 December 2002 (2002-12-19)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses:

An inverter buffer structure for a vehicle, comprising: a buffer member disposed with an inverter in an engine compartment of the vehicle and provided between the inverter and a radiator core support that constitutes a portion of a frame of the vehicle.

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 12

3.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses:

A method of protecting an inverter of a vehicle, comprising: disposing a buffer member between the inverter and a radiator core support that constitutes a portion of a frame of the vehicle.

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-10, 13-18

Dependent claims 2-10, 13-18 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

5 DEPENDENT CLAIM 11

The combination of the features of dependent claim 11 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.